

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/910,709 07/24/2001		Chang Kwon Lee	P-0236	1806		
34610	7590 05/18/2006	05/18/2006		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			ANWAH, OLISA			
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2614	2614		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/910,709	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Olisa Anwah	2614	

Defense the Cilima of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Olisa Anwah	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Description: Description:							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 21-40.		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
P. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to conshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NO+ place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance decause:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
	`	Olisa Anwah					
		Patent Examiner					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

5/15/6

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendments raise new issues because they were not recited previously in the pending claims. As a result, a new search is required.

Because the comunication network (see unit 3 from Figure 1) employed by Hasegawa is wireless (see column 5) and because Applicant's claims do not limit the receiving terminal to a cellular phone, the user terminal of Hasegawa (see unit 2 from Figure 1) is functionally equivalent to Applicant's claimed receiving terminal.

Applicant alleges Hasegawa does not teach the claimed recognition data. The Examiner respectfully disagrees because the key information (see column 6) of Hasegawa is the same as the claimed recognition data.

Applicant also incorrectly argues Hasegawa does not teach the recognition data and the corresponding compressed digital data are capable of being separately transmitted/received. Because Hasegawa explicitly indicates the server 1 reads out the main section (corresponding compressed digital data) and key information (recognition data) from the databases 4 and 5 and transmits these sections to the user terminal (see column 12), Hasegawa teaches the recognition data and the corresponding compressed digital data are capable of being separately transmitted/received.

FAN TSANG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TOWNOLOGY CENTER 2600